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“There are but two powers in the world, the sword and the mind. In the long run the sword is always beaten by the mind.”

Napoleon Bonaparte

Leadership causes people to follow their superiors willingly; therefore, following them in death and life, the people will not betray them.

Sun-tzu
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Psychological Operations:

Planned operations to convey selected information and indicators to foreign audiences to influence their emotions, motives, objective reasoning, and ultimately the behavior of foreign governments, organizations, groups and individuals.

Joint Publication 1-02
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“Information Warfare is about control of information.”

Winn Schwartau (1994)

Information Warfare

Richard K. Smith, 11 Mar 04
information Warfare – psychological Operations
Implications for 21st Century Organizational Leadership

Civil Affairs:

The activities of a commander that establish, maintain, influence or exploit relations between military forces and civil authorities, both governmental and nongovernmental, and the civilian populace in a friendly, neutral, or hostile area of operations in order to facilitate military operations and consolidate operational objectives.

Joint Publication 1-02
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Perspectives:

Diplomat to Battle-Space Commander to [Organizational leaders?]
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Overt Peacetime Psy-Ops Programs:

Those programs developed by combatant commands, in coordination with the chiefs of US diplomatic missions, that plan, support, and provide for the conduct during military operations other than war, of psychological operations in support of US regional objectives, policies, interests, and theater military mission.

Joint Publication 1-02 (draft)
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Knowledge Determines
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Knowledge Determines Use

SENSORS - SERVERS - SYSTEMS

Value

Risk

Richard K. Smith, 11 Mar 04
Information Warfare – Psychological Operations
Implications for 21st Century Organizational Leadership

Knowledge Determines
Use
Value
Risk

Richard K. Smith, 11 Mar 04
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**VALUE** is determined by your organization, plus your customers, suppliers, and users which determine their mission success based on your infrastructures’ support.

Your user’s expanding circle of customers, suppliers and their users product or service **VALUE** may also be dependent on your organization’s infrastructure service.
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Knowledge* Determines

Use

Value

Risk

“How might our current and future capacity to execute the major elements of our national strategy be compromised or even defeated by new information warfare threats against key elements of the national information infrastructure?”

Risk = f (uncertainty, damage), then Risk = f (hazard, safeguard*).
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Risk can be defined as a function of uncertainty and damage i.e.

\[ \text{Risk} = f(\text{uncertainty}, \text{damage}) \]

Uncertainty is measured as a probability. Consequences are measured as damage. As uncertainty or damage increases, so does risk.

Another element of risk is the cause or source of danger; e.g. hazard.

\[ \text{Risk} = f(\text{hazard}, \text{safeguard}) \]

Risk increases with hazards but decreases when safeguards are known.

Equations depend on courses being structured to identify counters to hazards providing the safeguards.
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Risk Analyses:

Sensor
Server
System
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Course Development Criteria:

1. Risk Analysis
2. Needs Assessment
3. Cost Benefit Analysis
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Step-by-Step Needs Assessments:
[What Is +/- What Should Be = Gap]

Sensor
Server
System
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**Cost - Benefit Analyses:**

Sensor

Server

System
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Information Dominance is Control of Data
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