An Introduction to Systematics

Systematics is the study of systems by their forms of connectedness. It was developed by J.G. Bennett, a student of G.I. Gurdjieff and Ouspensky, over a period of 50+ years as an application of the qualitative aspect of number. He began his investigation through the natural sciences and aimed for a pragmatic and modern meaning of ancient ideas. His work included interpretation of symbols such as the . The main course of his investigation is mapped out in his four volume masterpiece "The Dramatic Universe", from which the following extracts are taken under three headings: Structures, Systems and Progression.

STRUCTURES

It is no accident that recognition of the importance of structure has come, not by way of speculative philosophy or logical reasoning, but by the pressure of practical needs. We apprehend structures far more by the power of understanding than by knowledge. Knowledge is confined to Fact.

The Domain of Fact does not include transformation, which belongs to the Domain of Harmony. In this sense, knowing and understanding are powers that belong to quite different regions of experience and this suggests the surprising, but correct, conclusion that structures are not objects of knowledge, and that their true place is in the Domain of Harmony. We do not know structures, but we know because of structures.

Facts, that are no more than facts, are atomic and unrelated except by general laws. That is how the world was studied until
the middle of the present century. Darwin's Origin of Species (1859) and Clark Maxwell's Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism (1873) were magnificent swan-songs of a dying age of science when it had seemed possible to explain the whole by the part and to account for the facts, without regard to the purposive action that makes them possible.

We are now in the midst of a mental revolution, and as with all revolutions, its true significance escapes those most deeply involved. We are being forced to look at every kind of problem in a new way; that is, in terms of structures rather than of general laws. Scientists and philosophers are not alone in fighting a rearguard action against the revolution. In every department of human life, the ancient strongholds are being surrendered reluctantly and usually after they have ceased to matter. Men pay lip service to doctrines of 'integration', 'unification', 'ecumenism', and to the proposition that excessive specialization has become a menace to society; but, in practice, the changes come before the people concerned consent and usually before they realize what is happening.

We are thus in a stage of confusion due to the inadequacy of our modes of thought. We continue to think in terms of atomic concepts linked by logical implications and empirical laws. This approach can never lead to the understanding of structures whose significance lies in their organized complexity, not in their susceptibility to destructive analysis into elements and laws. We have seen in the earlier chapters that understanding is the subjective aspect of will and knowledge is the subjective aspect of function. We can 'know' structures only in their functional properties; whereas we 'understand' them in their
working. This working is very much more than actualization in time, for it concerns what things are and not simply how they change.

Structures link Fact and Value, and they are consequently always interesting. The elements of structures in isolation or connected by general laws are only shadows of reality and there is always a step to be made in order to pass from knowing about them to becoming aware of the structures in themselves. The problems of knowledge — how we know, what we know, what knowing is — all arise because of the inherent incompleteness of any possible knowledge. No such problems arise in understanding structures. This is not to suggest that understanding is easier than knowing; but that the difficulties in the way of understanding are of an altogether different kind. We understand by a mental act that is synthetic and creative; whereas we know by an act that is analytic and automatic. These mental acts must be projected into the mind and the mind must be able to experience them sensitively as images and consciously as judgments. Some degree of understanding must always be present for effectual action in the world. It follows that understanding understanding is of great practical importance; but there has been little research into the nature of understanding and into the possibility of developing it, until the growth of complex organizations has in recent years forced it upon the attention of practical men. It continues to be neglected by philosophers.

The need for more understanding is not confined to organization theory and systems engineering. It lies at the root of our central problem of elucidating the nature and destiny of man. We have
not neglected the task in the earlier volumes of the present work. The first indications of a technique of understanding came with the notion of multi-term systems introduced in Vol. I and developed further in Vol. II. The theory of eternal patterns is a projection in analytical terms of a way of looking at complex structures that cannot be reduced to functional terms.

A common characteristic of these varied techniques is the recognition that structure is a primary element of experience and not something that is added by the mind. In this respect, it can be said that the techniques of understanding call for a drastic revision of the usual modes of thought that treat being and understanding as independent or at least as separable from one another.

In the study of structures, we cannot separate what we understand from what we are, nor can we separate what a thing is from the way it is known. Since no human mind has a synthetic and creative power great enough to reproduce as a mental image the total organized complexity of the world presented to us from moment to moment we need a means of simplifying the task. This is provided by Systematics. Systematics is the study of structures as simplified totalities. Analytics breaks structures down into their simplest elements and looks for the connections between these elements. Systematics takes the connections as primary and the elements as secondary. This is a very difficult mental exercise for people trained in analytical thinking; but it is beginning to make its way into several fields. We shall in the present chapter, develop the systematic approach as far as is needed for our subsequent studies.
1. **A system is a set of independent but mutually relevant terms.** The relevance of the terms requires them to be compatible. No one term of a system can be understood without reference to all the others.

2. The order of a system is given by the number of terms. A system of the first order, or one-term system, is called a monad. Second, third, fourth, etc. order systems are called dyads, triads, tetrads, etc.

3. In systems, there are no fixed meanings attributable to the terms, which depend upon the structure of the system as a whole, so the various connectivities are common to all systems of the same order.

4. Every system exemplifies modes of connectedness that are typical of the number of terms. Thus there are zero connectivities in a monad, one in a dyad, three in a triad, six in a tetrad, ten in a pentad, fifteen in a hexad and $\frac{1}{2} n (n-1)$ in an n-term system. If the connectivities are distinguished according to direction, the number is doubled. All the connectivities are significant and must be taken into account if the structure represented by the system is to be understood.

5. Each order of system is associated with a particular mode of experiencing the world, called the Systemic Attribute.
   1. The **Monad** gives totality – without distinction of parts, hence universality as the systemic attribute.
   2. The **Dyad** gives difference without degrees, hence complementarity.
   3. The **Triad** gives relatedness without relativity and hence dynamism as distinct from force.
4. The **Tetrad** gives structured activity and combines relativity and order, and hence activity as distinct from potential.

5. The **Pentad** gives significance both inner and outer: hence also potentiality as distinct from actual occurrences. Here entities make their first appearance in the scheme of understanding.

6. The **Hexad** gives structure capable of transformation without loss of identity, hence recurrence and the character of events and so the historical character of experience. The systemic attribute is called coalescence.

7. The **Heptad** gives completeness combined with distinctions of quality: hence transformation.

8. The **Octad** gives the property whereby a structure can be understood in and for itself without reference to other structures, hence completedness.

9. The higher systems have further complexities and attributes.

6. The relevance of all the terms of a system requires that they should be of the same logical type and make contributions to the systemic attribute of one and the same kind. This we shall indicate by a common designation. Thus the terms of a dyad will be called its poles, those of a triad, its impulses, those of a tetrad its sources and so on.

7. The independence of the terms of a system requires that each should have a distinctive character. An important part of the study of systems consists in identifying the term characters of systems of a given order. The general characters common to all systems are to be further specified in respect of the particular system under review.
8. The mutual relevance of terms of a complex system can be found, to a first approximation, by taking all the terms in pairs. These are called the first-order connectivities. In a dyad there will be one, in a triad three, in a tetrad six and in an n-term system \( \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \) first order connectivities. Connectivities of a higher order can be studied as subsystems from the tetrad onwards. This procedure is adopted whenever circumstances require it. (e.g., the dodecad can best be studied as four triads, three tetrads, or two hexads)

These brief descriptions will be amplified later. We must, however, draw attention here to a defect in the presentation of Systematics in the earlier volumes. We failed to show the connection between systems and structures as we now see to be both necessary and possible. We took the notion of systems to be primary and that of structures derivative. This was a mistaken view. The organized complexity of the world resides in the structures that we discover both in our perceptions and in our mental processes. Whereas in knowing the world, we have to introduce signs and symbols to connect the mental picture with the perception; in understanding, the connection is common to the mind and its objects. The division into elements and laws, or 'things' and their 'behaviour' destroys the structure that must be built up again by a mental process. When we look at structures with the help of systematic forms, we retain the coherence and so no 'rebuilding' is needed.

We can describe systems as the forms of structure, but no one system taken alone can exemplify the organized complexity of real structures. We usually need to take more than one system
into account in order to gain the insights needed for understanding any existing structure that we find. According to the aspect of structure that happens to be relevant to a given purpose, a system of one order may be more useful than another. It has been found that for purposes of practical utility, the systems fall naturally in groups of four. The first four from the monad to the tetrad help us to see how structures work. The systems from pentad to octad show why they work and how they enter into the pattern of Reality. The third group from the ennead to the duodecad is mainly concerned with the harmony of structures: that is, the conditions that enable them to fulfil their destined purpose.

For many purposes, we can understand what is needed by considering only the first four systems in a given structure. When we need to understand what the structure is, why it exists and what it is intended for, we must take higher systems into account.

Structures that are in process of transformation lead into societies and communities which are more concrete than structures and usually too complex to be described in terms of systems alone.

**PROGRESSION**

The series of multi-term systems is a progression such that each system implies all the earlier ones and requires those that follow. We cannot understand the triad unless we already grasp the notions of universality and complementarity and the dynamism of the triad is not realized without the activity of the tetrad.
The later systems are not only more complex and more highly organized than the earlier ones; they embody an understanding of reality that is more comprehensive and practical. The progression is from abstractness towards concreteness. The monad which defines a structure, but tells us nothing about it, is more abstract than the dyad which enables us to see how the polarity of the structure is formed. Polarity is a less concrete attribute than dynamism. Only with the pentad do we reach a degree of concreteness that allows us to define an entity. This, incidentally, illustrates the difference between knowing and understanding. For knowledge, entities appear to be simple notions. Things, beings, societies are entities that we know by their names; but this does not mean that we understand what they are, why they are or how they are. As we shall see in a later section, the five terms of the pentad are needed to give substance to the notion of an entity. Again, we have in all concrete situations uncertainties, hazards and varying degrees of success in surmounting them. Such situations cannot be adequately, that is concretely, investigated without reference to nine-term systems.

We have, then, a progress from abstract to concrete that is expressed in the systemic attributes. Not all structures exemplify all stages of the progression to the same degree. A given structure may exemplify one attribute strongly and others weakly. Thus we may have a structure that can be understood very well as an activity (tetrad), but not so well as a coalescence (hexad). We should call such a structure weak in the hexad and strong in the tetrad.
The use of the expressions 'weak' and 'strong' is intended to convey the connection between understanding and will. A structure that fails to exemplify a system can be regarded as lacking in the will to exemplify it. An act of decision is needed to bring together the terms of a tetrad so as to produce and maintain a specific activity. Again, significance is not a quality that belongs to the experience of one who studies an activity, nor is it inherent in activity as such. In order to be significant there must be a decisive concentration of purpose at a central point. By this decision, the activity acquires meaning in its own right and so becomes an 'entity'. By another act of will, the entity asserts its own independent reality and so becomes strong in the hexad.

One other general property of systems remains to be considered. This we shall refer to as term-adequacy. If the terms of a system cannot be clearly discerned in a given structure, the required characters will be lacking and the system in question is then inadequately represented. To illustrate the point, let us take the three terms: father-mother-child. It is easy to see that the father adequately represents the affirming impulse, the mother the receptive and the child the reconciling. Compare this with three terms: man-fish-tree. The terms very inadequately represent the character of the triad. Only in an insignificant group of situations, will the three elements exemplify the attribute of dynamism. If, however, we add a fourth term, man-fish-stream-tree, we can picture an activity of a man fishing in the shade of a tree that is quite an adequate tetrad. The motivational terms are represented by man and fish and the instrumental terms by stream and tree. In this case the tetrad must be strong in order to exemplify its attribute. The man must have the will to catch the
fish and the fish the will to stay in the water. We have these three conditions to fulfil in order to have a well-defined system associated with a structure:

1. The structure must exemplify the systemic attribute.
2. The term characterization must be adequate.
3. The system must be strongly willed.
SYSTEMATICS
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Organized Complexity

Our thought must take account of complexity; reduction to 'simple laws' is inadequate even in physical science. Complexity is not the same as chaos, everywhere it is complemented by organization. Practical activities, such as modern technology, have forced people to recognize the irreducibility of complexity, but also lead to a search for structural modes of thought. Two-valued logic, simple laws, and ordinary language, especially the Indo-European, are inadequate tools for enabling us to describe organized complexities. The concept of multi-term systems can take us further.

The grasp of structures is not a matter of knowledge alone, but requires an intuitive insight that can be called 'understanding'. Knowledge is the accumulation of data concerning the behaviour of things. The step to understanding requires a consciousness of structure that is synthetic and not analytic. Up to now, no technique for understanding has ever been proposed. This is, in part, because understanding and knowledge are not clearly distinguished. Knowledge and understanding are mental acts of quite different kinds. They require quite different methods of development, though productive thinking requires their cooperative working.

In order to know, there must always be some grasp of structure, but it need be of only limited extent. On the other hand, the depth of human experience exceeds what can be concretely grasped by any single human mind. This is why creative acts are needed for advances in human thinking. The discipline that has been called Systematics serves as a bridge between our limited and only implicit grasp of structure and the concrete experience, by introducing certain simplifications which enable us to proceed by way of an integrative progression. Systematics is the mental tool whereby we study organized complexities and this paper will give a short account of the present state of its development. We shall start with a few definitions.

SYSTEMS

A multi-term system is a set of independent but mutually relevant terms.

Each system portrays a particular manner or mode of experiencing the world, called the systemic attribute.

The order of a system is given by the number of terms: A one-term system is a monad.

Second, third, fourth, etc. order systems are called dyads, triads, tetrads, etc.
Within each system, there are various connectivities between the terms corresponding to the order of structure of the system as a whole. First order connectivities are between pairs of terms. Thus a triad has three first order connectivities. Second order connectivities are triads of three terms. A tetrad has four such second order connectivities.

The terms of a system must be compatible with each other, that is, of a kind. This can be expressed by saying that for each system, there is a common term designation. For the terms of a dyad, this is pole; for those of a triad, impulse, etc.

Within a system, each term is distinctive, and is accorded a specific character.

**Systemic Attributes of Systems**

The Monad gives totality without distinction of parts, hence universality as the systemic attribute.

The Dyad gives difference without degrees, hence complementarity.

The Triad gives relatedness without relativity and hence dynamism.

The Tetrad gives structured activity and combines relativity and order, hence activity as distinct from potential.

The Pentad gives significance both inner and outer: hence also potentiality as distinct from actual occurrences. Here entities make their first appearance in the scheme of understanding.

The Hexad gives structure capable of transformation without loss of identity, hence recurrence and the character of events and so the historical character of experience. The systemic attribute is called coalescence.

The Heptad gives progress combined with distinctions of quality; hence transformation.

The Octad gives the structure fully harmonized in and for itself on all scales; hence completedness.

The further systems exhibit more concrete properties, including the overcoming of hazard and uncertainty.

**Understanding**

Understanding is a mode of apprehending experience in which there is no separation of 'subject' and 'object'. This distinction applies only in the sphere of knowledge. That is why understanding has more to do with action than with theoretical activity. The progression of the systems is a movement from abstract to concrete. A monad fixes the universe of concern but tells us nothing of the structure. A dyad enables us to see the polarity that introduces force into the situation. Only with the pentad are we able to define an entity. Usually, 'naming' occludes the structural depth of our mental objects. When we wish to understand an entity, we have to take account of inner and outer structuring and this requires at least five terms.
All concrete situations involve uncertainties and hazards. The more complex systems show how these hazards enter and how they can be harmonized with respect to an overall plan or purpose. Beyond this, we are led into problems of the concrete structure of societies, when the terms of systems have an inner diversification and inherent flux. This then goes beyond the domain of Systematics, sensu stricto.

It should be understood that systems are quite different from the conceptual pattern of artifacts. A system becomes concretely established by a structural act of will. That is why they are important for the conduct of practical affairs. Nothing can be understood unless it exists - is not an abstraction - and neither can it be understood save through an act of will.

We shall now briefly state without explanation the properties of the simpler systems.

MONAD

Systemic Attribute: Universality

Term Designation: Totality

Term Character: Diversity in unity

The monad is an undifferentiated diversity. Monads do not have sharp boundaries but their content is unified by sharing a total character. Each new situation, to which we turn our attention, presents a confused immediacy, but it also evokes the expectancy of finding an organized structure. This leads to what is called identifying the monad, which involves both cognition and judgment. Typical kinds of monads are 'populations', 'fields', 'problems' and 'universes of discourse'.

There are two ways of identifying a monad: exclusion and enumeration, corresponding to the act of judgment and the cognition of content. Ideally each gives the same result; but since the second cannot be exhausted, they prove in practice to be complementary.

DYAD

Systemic Attribute: Complementarity

Term Designation: Poles

Term Characters: Positive and Negative

Connectivity of terms: Force

Every totality is ambiguous: it has an internal diversity and an external connectedness that are both quasi-infinite. There is what it is and what it does. This illustrates the fundamental ambiguity of the world-structure that makes contradiction a reality and not a defect of thinking, as many philosophers, such as Hegel, have seen. Each 'side' of the duality is necessary to the other: they are complementary. In every act of perception, there is a complementarity of 'figure and ground' and 'focal and peripheral attention', without which there could be no awareness of a world in which we ourselves are. Traditional metaphysics
abounds with versions of the dyad, but all are limited in scope of expression and often fail to accord each term an equal status; and the dyad has sometimes been taken as a sign of defectiveness in a situation.

An obvious example of the dyad in human experience is the system man-woman. Each, by nature, understands and wills in an opposite way to the other; both are necessary for mutual progress, hence are complementary.

The recognition of dyads is of great practical importance. Ideas of right and wrong, good and evil, true and false, are defective ways of thinking and reflect a common tendency of the mind to work in opposites of pleasure-pain, like-dislike, etc., rejecting the one and accepting the other. Both logic and politics, as well as personal life, are rendered unrealistic by the inability to grasp the complementarity of two-term systems.

**TRIAD**

Systemic Attribute: Dynamism

Term Designation: Impulses

Term Characters:

Affirmation

Receptivity

Reconciliation

First Order Connectivities: Acts

Second Order Connectivities: Actions

Progress beyond the dyad - but not its annihilation - is by entering into action. Now all activity is initiated by acts of will. We distinguish three moments in the realization of events. First the act which establishes the dynamism by the contact of impulses. Second, the action, which sets a process in train by the relatedness of impulses. Third the activity, the 'on-going'. To give a rough mental picture of the term characters: affirmation is the impulse behind commitment; receptivity is the impulse that opens up a field of action; reconciliation is the impulse that enables the dynamism 'to be'. In concrete situations the impulses interpenetrate and blend with each other.

The triad is the first system that takes account of the flux of experience and is of great value in enabling the mind to grasp the originating impulses that are found connected and blended together in actions. The recognition that three independent factors have to be brought together for it to be possible that anything should happen has been found to be of immediate practical value.
**TETRAD**

Systemic Attribute: Activity

Term Designation: Source

Term Characters:
- Motivational: Ground, Goal
- Operational: Direction, Instrument

First order connectivities: Interplays

The tetrad is concerned with the change of order. This is exemplified in everyday situations such as that of cooking where raw foodstuffs become a meal. It is exemplified in the activity of the mind, when perceptions are transformed into understanding. Such activities are flexible, orderly and intentional. In mathematics, specification of order requires four terms. We say that ordering activities proceed from four sources.

We simplify by grouping the four terms into two pairs, one concerned with the why (motivational) and the other with the how (operational). Thus each activity arises from an actual ground and has an ideal or goal. Since the activity is intentional and operative, it has direction and an instrument.

![Basic Symbol of the tetrad](image)

In human affairs, we can distinguish two kinds of motivational source, corresponding to ground and goal: need and aspiration. The operational sources can always be grouped according to the two kinds, direction and instrument; the former cognitive and theoretical, the latter involved and practical. Each of the six interplays has a specific interpretation. These have proved useful in understanding the scientific activity.

**PENTAD**

Systemic Attribute: Significance (also Potentiality)

Term Designation: Limit

Term Characters:
Intrinsic Limit: Ipseity
Lower Inner Limit: Lower Nature
Upper Inner Limit: Upper Nature
Lower Outer Limit: Nourishment
Upper Outer Limit: Master

First order connectivities: Mutualities

An entity has meaning in its own right. This gives it an unique character and an inner and outer range of significance. Nothing less is sufficient for an independent structure. The inner range of significance includes the potentialities of the entity and partly stems from the nature or kind of entity and partly from its history. Any real thing is potentially more than it ever actually is. This is true of situations as well as of entities such as a man.

Inner significance is confined within limits; the lower limit is in its bare requirements as a particular nature; the higher limit is in the highest degree of self-realization possible for it.

The range of outer significance stems from the connections every entity has with its world; that is, from its range of significant action. The master is the highest end served by the entity; the nourishment is that which the entity needs in order to maintain its own identity.

To give an illustration from general experience, we consider a home. A home has an unique significance or ipseity in the family at its core. Its lower nature is in the existential supports associated with the requirements for food, shelter and interaction upon which family life is founded. The higher nature of a home is its significance for the self-realization of its members; it is the 'natural field' for the development of complete people, but only up to a certain limit. The home serves human society: the master which it nourishes and which it contacts through the participation of its members in larger groups than the family. For its nourishment, there is the material of living - materials, foodstuff, information, etc. - which is without structure before it enters the synthesis of the life of the home.
Inwardly, the home is a meeting place of ideals and problems. Outwardly, it is a participant in the flow of influences and materials that make up the spiritual and material context of the community at large. At the centre is the individuation of the particular family.

The scheme of the pentad has enabled us to make a classification of kinds of entity - in terms of essence-classes - that is completely independent of spatio-temporal characteristics and relies only on modes of significance.

HEXAD

Systemic Attribute: Coalescence (also, the Forms of Events, Independence)

Term Designation: Law (laws govern the coalescence of events)

Term Characters:
  Order
  Expansion
  Identity
  Freedom
  Concentration
  Interaction

(These are also the six fundamental laws that govern all events permissible by the nature of existence)

First order connectivities: Steps

Symbol of the Hexad

A situation with potentiality passes into greater concreteness by an act of realization. Realization is a substantial transformation that leaves behind it something more than a
succession of states. It is contrasted with actualization in which each succeeding state is wholly merged into the next. The character of realization can be expressed by saying that we have an event and that every event can be conceived of as a Present Moment. If we put to one side our usual prejudice that the mind is 'going-on in time', we can see that 'mind' is a present moment organized and disorganized by supra-conscious and subconscious actions, and unified by an act of will. The degree of unification determines the strength of coalescence of that mind.

Coalescence is accomplished by a synthesis of different modes of action. These are found in the second order connectivities of the triad, and are given the name 'laws'. The six terms of the hexad are the basic laws of possible events. The combination of modes of action gives rise to the subsidiary attribute of cyclicity, and events are often in fact studied as constructed out of recurrent phases of action.

![Symbol of the Hexad as Progressive Cyclicality]

Coalescence involves both the manifestation of a higher level of order in a lower and its maintenance - through the triads of expansion, order and identity - and also the evolution of lower levels of order into higher - through the triads of concentration, freedom, and interaction. The hexad is, then, crucial for the study of history, and also for grasping the fundamental structure of the fulfillment of complex tasks. It shows how self-contained or independent structures can arise.

**HEPTAD**

Systemic Attribute: Transformation (also, Historical Integration)

Term Designation: State

Term Characters:

- 7 Completion
- 6 Renunciation
- 5 Insight
- 4 Harmonization
- 3 Separation
In this system, we seek to define a very special kind of 'change', which we call transformation. That there are quite different kinds of change is vaguely acknowledged by most people, but little or no research has been devoted to this. With the heptad, we concern ourselves with a complex structure of action in which the quality of action itself is changed. If we consider an entity, then (i) the entity realizes itself (ii) it acquires properties not even potentially present before (iii) without losing the identity it is integrated into a greater totality. The third aspect is the key to the integration of independent events into a total history, hence progress.

Transformations are comparatively rare but of major importance in human life. The few totally dedicated artists and scientists undergo this with respect to their art or research. Transformation is usually reflected in the struggle with and resolution of a complex problem. That is why this system has proved of heuristic value in understanding the concrete structure of 'problem-solving'.

The terms of the system can be regarded as 'levels' and 'stages', providing it is not assumed that transformation is simply a passing along the series of terms. It is also important to take into account the individual qualities of each element, which are present throughout the total action. There is both a structural distinctiveness of terms and a blending between them. The terms are therefore designated states, the word signifying a synthesis of qualities and stages.

**OCTAD**

Systemic Attribute: Completedness

Term Designation: Element

Term Characters fall into two tetrads of Active elements and Structural elements
### Active Elements | Structural Elements
---|---
1 | Summit
2 | States
3 | Atom
4 | Functions
5 | Base
6 | Necessities
7 | Totality
8 | Ideals

**Basic symbol of the Octad**

The symbol used to represent this system is derived from South-West Asia, where it is a common means of expressing a total order in which all things find their place. When all the first order connectivities are drawn, we have the total octad symbol, which has proved an invaluable heuristic tool in educational research done by the Institute.

**Total Octad Symbol**
The common region of the two squares is called the Arena and is considered to represent the region within which the action of harmonization of conflicting demands takes place.

Arena

In the square of structural elements 1-3-5-7, the horizontal line 3-7 represents the range from the atomic to the total aspects of the structure. The vertical line 1-5 represents the scale of influences from the most spiritual to the most material. Each of these lines has seven nodes in the total octad symbol, which can be characterized for each situation studied as a totality.

The square 2-4-6-8 represents the conditions required for the completed action to take place.

In the octad of a human life, the arena designates the region of action that is the total content of the active adult life. The arena, in general, is the region of sway of the particular will by which the situation is determined. This will need not be personal; it may be social, as for example, the will of society to educate its young.

The octad affords a means for a total grasp of a situation. To illustrate by education. Education is a link between successive generations of mankind. It involves intricate personal relationships but is important for the entire human race. Influences which bear upon it range from the highest of human spirituality to the basic vital processes of the organism. Education must adapt to the changing needs and advancing ideals of humanity. All this can be represented by means of the octad with a minimum of explanation.

At the centre is the school or college, which is the point of effective action in the harmonization of the totality. To the left, is involvement in the total society; to the right, concern with the group and individual. Consideration of all the points of intersection of the total octad symbol then becomes the basis for an adequate study of the 'educational situation'. It is with regard to such structures as education, organized in depth, that the octad proves of greatest value.
Complete Structure of Education

ENNEAD

Systemic Attribute: Harmonization

Term Designations are of two kinds: there are three Sources and six Steps

The structure represented in the octad is still lacking in concreteness, for it does not take account of hazard and uncertainty. For example, the octad of education does not enable us to take account of the disordering due to politics and economic crises.

The ennead is the first in the progression of systems that can take account of both purpose and the uncertainty of its fulfillment. Its principles can be illustrated by considering the inception and completion of a purposive process. A process initiated at A and directed towards an end B must, in any complete situation, meet with environmental factors which automatically result in some modified effect B*. To avoid deviation, an independent action must be brought in to correct for deflections. This is self-regulation and is required for the accomplishment of any aim. There is a further factor, however. Outside of the developing situation there are uncertainties which may render the accomplishment of the aim useless. If this is not to be the case, another kind of action must be taken concerned with the perfection of the result. The inception of the process and the correcting and the perfecting elements, constitute a triad.

The overcoming of hazard is by a synthesis of dynamism and coalescence. The dynamism enables the process to work and the coalescence is the integration of the complexity of action as an independent event. Enneads are usually studied by means of the symbolic form known as the enneagram, derived from Sufi sources in Central Asia.
The six-pointed figure 142857 represents the inner sequence that is the concern of the intelligence involved who has the task of directing and coordinating the various steps. It is utilized, consciously or unconsciously, in the establishment of the artificially contrived environmental conditions necessary for processes to be completed. From this aspect, it has been studied in the sphere of industrial production and scientific experiment.

The three independent features that are operated by the triad 936 each lead to the inception of a process. Points 3 and 6 are called 'points of hazard' since three independent processes have to blend together. The construction of such a structured situation enables there to be a dynamic harmony, which manifests repetition in time. An obvious example of this is a kitchen serving a community, where the guiding intelligence is the chief cook and the points of hazard are the entry of the raw foodstuff, and contact with the needs of the community.

**DECAD and UNDECAD**

**Decad:**

Systemic Attribute: Integrative Complementarity

**Undecad:**

Systemic Attribute: Synergism.

Regions, of the kind represented by the ennead, co-exist within complex totalities such as a community of people. When such regions interact in such a way that the significance of each is enhanced, we have the situation of integrative complementarity. This decad can also be understood as a synthesis of two pentads, meaning the synthesis of two independent modes of significance into a total meaning. This system is of value in grappling with problems of the complex and ambiguous modes of significance of man. When we take into account an active co-operation between coupled but independent entities, then we have the condition of a
synergism. The eleven-term system applies wherever there is a mutual completion between structures of different kinds.

DUODECAD

Systemic Attribute: Perfection.

Because the twelve terms of the Duodecad enable us to consider it in terms of hexads, tetrads and triads, it has proved easier to utilize than the ten and eleven term systems. On theoretical grounds, we assume that the dodecad represents the ultimate resolution of problems of hazard. It gives a total survey of any region of experience and has been applied extensively to categorize: levels of existence; qualities of energy; substances; essence classes; values, and roles in an ideal human society. The duodecad can be considered as two hexads, upper and lower; as three tetrads, working in dynamic cooperation; or as four triads in a synthesis of immanence and transcendence.

Conclusion

The discipline of Systematics is offered as a contribution towards the development of that integrative understanding which is sorely needed for the meeting of the problems and tasks of our time. It is work still in progress and by its very nature it can never be reduced to a set of static concepts. We are forced to take account of relativity, but we can never be satisfied with less than some vision of the totality. The dilemma is resolved by the development of structural modes of thought which give us a way of working in the present moment that is open to both the substantial past and the creative future.