

"**Ṣūfism.**" *Encyclopædia Britannica*. 2010. Encyclopædia Britannica Online. 19 Jul. 2010
<<http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/571823/Sufism>>.

also spelled **Ṣūfiism**

mystical Islāmic belief and practice in which Muslims seek to find the truth of divine love and knowledge through direct personal experience of God. It consists of a variety of mystical paths that are designed to ascertain the nature of man and God and to facilitate the experience of the presence of divine love and wisdom in the world.

Islāmic mysticism is called *taṣawwuf* (literally, “to dress in wool”) in Arabic, but it has been called Ṣūfism in Western languages since the early 19th century. An abstract word, Ṣūfism derives from the Arabic term for a mystic, *ṣūfī*, which is in turn derived from *ṣūf*, “wool,” plausibly a reference to the woollen garment of early Islāmic ascetics. The Ṣūfīs are also generally known as “the poor,” *fuqarā*, plural of the Arabic *faqīr*, in Persian *darvīsh*, whence the English words fakir and dervish.

Though the roots of Islāmic mysticism formerly were supposed to have stemmed from various non-Islāmic sources in ancient Europe and even India, it now seems established that the movement grew out of early Islāmic [asceticism](#) that developed as a counterweight to the increasing worldliness of the expanding Muslim community; only later were foreign elements that were compatible with [mystical theology](#) and practices adopted and made to conform to Islām.

By educating the masses and deepening the spiritual concerns of the Muslims, Ṣūfism has played an important role in the formation of Muslim society. Opposed to the dry casuistry of the lawyer-divines, the mystics nevertheless scrupulously observed the commands of the [divine law](#). The Ṣūfīs have been further responsible for a large-scale missionary activity all over the world, which still continues. Ṣūfīs have elaborated the image of the prophet Muḥammad—the founder of Islām—and have thus largely influenced Muslim piety by their Muḥammad-mysticism. Without the Ṣūfī vocabulary, Persian and other literatures related to it, such as Turkish, Urdu, Sindhi, Pashto, and Panjabi, would lack their special charms. Through the poetry of these literatures mystical ideas spread widely among the Muslims. In some countries Ṣūfī leaders were also active politically.

History

Islāmic mysticism had several stages of growth, including (1) the appearance of early asceticism, (2) the development of a classical mysticism of divine love, and (3) the rise and proliferation of fraternal orders of mystics. Despite these general stages, however, the history of Islāmic mysticism is largely a history of individual mystic experience.

The first stage of Ṣūfism appeared in pious circles as a reaction against the worldliness of the early Umayyad period (ad 661–749). From their practice of constantly meditating on the [Qur’ānic](#) words about Doomsday, the ascetics became known as “those who always weep” and those who considered this world “a hut of sorrows.” They were distinguished by their scrupulous fulfillment of the injunctions of the Qur’ān and tradition, by many acts of piety, and especially by a predilection for night prayers.

Classical mysticism

The introduction of the element of love, which changed asceticism into mysticism, is ascribed to Rābi‘ah al-‘Adawīyah (died 801), a woman from Basra who first formulated the Ṣūfī ideal of a love of

God that was disinterested, without hope for paradise and without fear of hell. In the decades after Rābi‘ah, mystical trends grew everywhere in the Islāmic world, partly through an exchange of ideas with Christian hermits. A number of mystics in the early generations had concentrated their efforts upon *tawakkul*, absolute trust in God, which became a central concept of Ṣūfism. An Iraqi school of mysticism became noted for its strict self-control and psychological insight. The Iraqi school was initiated by al-Muḥāsibī (died 857)—who believed that purging the soul in preparation for companionship with God was the only value of asceticism. Its teachings of classical sobriety and wisdom were perfected by Junayd of Baghdad (died 910), to whom all later chains of the transmission of doctrine and legitimacy go back. In an Egyptian school of Ṣūfism, the Nubian Dhū an-Nūn (died 859) reputedly introduced the technical term *ma‘rifah* (“interior knowledge”), as contrasted to learnedness; in his hymnical prayers he joined all nature in the praise of God—an idea based on the Qur’ān and later elaborated in Persian and Turkish poetry. In the Iranian school, Abū Yazīd al-Bisṭāmī (died 874) is usually considered to have been representative of the important doctrine of annihilation of the self, *fanā’* (see below); the strange symbolism of his sayings prefigures part of the terminology of later mystical poets. At the same time the concept of divine love became more central, especially among the Iraqi Ṣūfis. Its main representatives are Nūrī, who offered his life for his brethren, and Sumnūn “the Lover.”

The first of the theosophical speculations based on mystical insights about the nature of man and the essence of the Prophet were produced by such Ṣūfis as Sahl at-Tustarī (died c. 896). Some Hellenistic ideas were later adopted by al-Ḥakīm at-Tirmidhī (died 898). Sahl was the master of al-Ḥusayn ibn Maṣṣūr al-Hallāj, who has become famous for his phrase *anā al-ḥaqq*, “I am the Creative Truth” (often rendered “I am God”), which was later interpreted in a pantheistic sense but is, in fact, only a condensation of his theory of *huwa huwa* (“He he”): God loved himself in his essence, and created Adam “in his image.” Hallāj was executed in 922 in Baghdad as a result of his teachings; he is, for later mystics and poets, the “martyr of Love” par excellence, the enthusiast killed by the theologians. His few poems are of exquisite beauty; his prose, which contains an outspoken Muḥammad-mysticism—*i.e.*, mysticism centred on the prophet Muḥammad—is as beautiful as it is difficult.

Ṣūfī thought was in these early centuries transmitted in small circles. Some of the *shaykhs*, Ṣūfī mystical leaders or guides of such circles, were also artisans. In the 10th century, it was deemed necessary to write handbooks about the tenets of Ṣūfism in order to soothe the growing suspicions of the orthodox; the compendiums composed in Arabic by Abū Ṭālib Makkī, Sarrāj, and Kalābādhī in the late 10th century, and by Qushayrī and, in Persian, by Hujvīrī in the 11th century reveal how these authors tried to defend Ṣūfism and to prove its orthodox character. It should be noted that the mystics belonged to all schools of Islāmic law and theology of the times.

The last great figure in the line of classical Ṣūfism is [Abū Hāmid al-Ghazālī](#) (died 1111), who wrote, among numerous other works, the *Iḥyā’ ‘ulūm ad-dīn* (“The Revival of the Religious Sciences”), a comprehensive work that established moderate mysticism against the growing theosophical trends—which tended to equate God and the world—and thus shaped the thought of millions of Muslims. His younger brother, Aḥmad al-Ghazālī, wrote one of the subtlest treatises (*Sawāniḥ*; “Occurrences” [*i.e.*, stray thoughts]) on mystical love, a subject that then became the main subject of Persian poetry.

Rise of fraternal orders

Slightly later, mystical orders (fraternal groups centring around the teachings of a leader-founder) began to crystallize. The 13th century, though politically overshadowed by the invasion of the Mongols into the Eastern lands of Islām and the end of the ‘Abbāsīd caliphate, was also the golden age of Ṣūfism: the Spanish-born [Ibn al ‘Arabī](#) created a comprehensive theosophical system (concerning the

relation of God and the world) that was to become the cornerstone for a theory of “Unity of Being.” According to this theory all existence is one, a manifestation of the underlying divine reality. His Egyptian contemporary [Ibn al-Fārid](#) wrote the finest mystical poems in Arabic. Two other important mystics, who died c. ad 1220, were a Persian poet, Farīd od-Dīn ‘Aṭṭar, one of the most fertile writers on mystical topics, and a Central Asian master, Najmuddīn Kubrā, who presented elaborate discussions of the psychological experiences through which the mystic adept has to pass.

The greatest mystical poet in the [Persian language](#), [Jalāl ad-Dīn ar-Rūmī](#) (1207–73), was moved by mystical love to compose his lyrical poetry that he attributed to his mystical beloved, Shams ad-Dīn of Tabriz, as a symbol of their union. Rūmī’s didactic poem *Mašnavī* in about 26,000 couplets—a work that is for the Persian-reading mystics second in importance only to the Qur’ān—is an encyclopaedia of mystical thought in which everyone can find his own religious ideas. Rūmī inspired the organization of the whirling dervishes—who sought ecstasy through an elaborate dancing ritual, accompanied by superb music. His younger contemporary [Yunus Emre](#) inaugurated [Turkish](#) mystical poetry with his charming verses that were transmitted by the Bektāshīyah (Bektaşī) order of dervishes and are still admired in modern Turkey. In Egypt, among many other mystical trends, an order—known as Shādhilīyah—was founded by ash-Shādhilī (died 1258); its main literary representative, Ibn ‘Aṭā’ Allāh of Alexandria, wrote sober aphorisms (*ḥikam*).

At that time, the basic ideals of Ṣūfism permeated the whole world of Islām; and at its borders as, for example, in [India](#), Ṣūfīs largely contributed to shaping Islāmic society. Later some of the Ṣūfīs in India were brought closer to [Hindu](#) mysticism by an overemphasis on the idea of divine unity which became almost monism—a religiophilosophic perspective according to which there is only one basic reality, and the distinction between God and the world (and man) tends to disappear. The syncretistic attempts of the [Mughal](#) emperor Akbar (died 1605) to combine different forms of belief and practice, and the religious discussions of the crown prince Dārā Shukōh (executed for heresy, 1659) were objectionable to the orthodox. Typically, the countermovement was again undertaken by a mystical order, the [Naqshbandīyah](#), a Central Asian fraternity founded in the 14th century. Contrary to the monistic trends of the school of *waḥdat al-wujūd* (“existential unity of being”), the later Naqshbandīyah defended the *waḥdat ash-shuhūd* (“unity of vision”), a subjective experience of unity, occurring only in the mind of the believer, and not as an objective experience. [Ahmad Sirhindī](#) (died 1624) was the major protagonist of this movement in India. His claims of sanctity were surprisingly daring: he considered himself the divinely invested master of the universe. His refusal to concede the possibility of union between man and God (characterized as “servant” and “Lord”) and his sober law-bound attitude gained him and his followers many disciples, even at the Mughal court and as far away as Turkey. In the 18th century, Shāh Walī Allāh of Delhi was connected with an attempt to reach a compromise between the two inimical schools of mysticism; he was also politically active and translated the Qur’ān into Persian, the official language of Mughal India. Other Indian mystics of the 18th century, such as Mīr Dard, played a decisive role in forming the newly developing Urdu poetry.

In the Arabic parts of the Islāmic world, only a few interesting mystical authors are found after 1500. They include ash-Sha‘rānī in Egypt (died 1565) and the prolific writer ‘Abd al-Ghanī an-Nābulusī in Syria (died 1731). Turkey produced some fine mystical poets in the 17th and 18th centuries. The influence of the mystical orders did not recede; rather new orders came into existence, and most literature was still tinged with mystical ideas and expressions. Political and social reformers in the Islāmic countries have often objected to Ṣūfism because they have generally considered it as backward, hampering the free development of society. Thus, the orders and dervish lodges in Turkey were closed by Kemal Atatürk in 1925. Yet, their political influence is still palpable, though under the surface. Such modern Islāmic thinkers as the Indian philosopher Muḥammad Iqbāl have attacked traditional monist mysticism and have gone back to the classical ideals or divine love as expressed by Ḥallāj and his

contemporaries. The activities of modern Muslim mystics in the cities are mostly restricted to spiritual education.

Šūfī literature

Though a prophetic saying (Ḥadīth) claims that “he who knows God becomes silent,” the Šūfīs have produced a literature of impressive extent and could defend their writing activities with another Ḥadīth: “He who knows God talks much.” The first systematic books explaining the tenets of Šūfism date from the 10th century; but earlier, Muḥāsibī had already written about spiritual education, Ḥallāj had composed meditations in highly concentrated language, and many Šūfīs had used poetry for conveying their experiences of the ineffable mystery or had instructed their disciples in letters of cryptographic density. The accounts of Šūfism by Sarrāj and his followers, as well as the *ṭabaqāt* (biographical works) by Sulamī, Abū Nu‘aym al-Iṣfahānī, and others, together with some biographies of individual masters, are the sources for knowledge of early Šūfism.

Early mystical commentaries on the Qur‘ān are only partly extant, often preserved in fragmentary quotations in later sources. With the formation of mystical orders, books about the behaviour of the Šūfī in various situations became important, although this topic had already been touched on in such classical works as *Ādāb al-murīdīn* (“The Adepts’ Etiquette”) by Abū Najīb as-Suhrawardī (died 1168), the founder of the Suhrawardīyah order and uncle of the author of the oft translated *‘Awārif al-ma‘ārif* (“The Well-known Sorts of Knowledge”). The theosophists had to condense their systems in readable form; Ibn al-‘Arabī’s *al-Futūḥāt al-Makkīyah* (“The Meccan Revelations”) is the textbook of *waḥdat al-wujūd* (God and creation as two aspects of one reality); his smaller work on the peculiar character of the prophets—*Fuṣūṣ al-ḥikam* (“The Bezels—or cutting edges—of Wisdom”)—became even more popular.

Later mystics commented extensively upon the classical sources and, sometimes, translated them into their mother tongues. A literary type that has flourished especially in India since the 13th century is the *malḥūzāt*, a collection of sayings of the mystical leader, which are psychologically interesting and allow glimpses into the political and social situation of the Muslim community. Collections of letters of the *shaykhs* are similarly revealing. Šūfī literature abounds in [hagiography](#), either [biographies](#) of all known saints from the Prophet to the day of the author, or of saints of a specific order, or of those who lived in a certain town or province, so that much information on the development of Šūfī thought and practice is available if sources are critically sifted.

The greatest contribution of Šūfism to Islāmic literature, however, is poetry—beginning with charming, short Arabic [love poems](#) (sometimes sung for a mystical concert, *samā‘*) that express the yearning of the soul for union with the beloved. The love-relation prevailing in most Persian poetry is that between a man and a beautiful youth; less often, as in the writings of Ibn al-‘Arabī and Ibn al-Fāriḍ, eternal beauty is symbolized through female beauty; in Indo-Muslim popular mystical songs the soul is the loving wife, God the longed-for husband. Long mystic–didactic poems (*maṣnavīs*) were written to introduce the reader to the problems of unity and love by means of allegories and parables. After Sanā‘ī’s (died 1131?) *Ḥadīqat al-ḥaqīqah wa sharī‘at at-tariqah* (“The Garden of Truth and the Law of Practice”), came ‘Aṭṭar’s *Manṭeq at-ṭeyr* (“The Birds’ Conversation”) and Rūmī’s *Maṣnavī-ye ma‘navī* (“Spiritual Couplets”). These three works are the sources that have furnished poets for centuries with mystical ideas and images. Typical of Šūfī poetry is the hymn in praise of God, expressed in chains of repetitions.

The mystics also contributed largely to the development of national and regional literatures, for they had to convey their message to the masses in their own languages: in Turkey as well as in the Panjabi-,

the Sindhi-, and the Urdu-speaking areas of [South Asia](#), the first true religious poetry was written by Ṣūfīs, who blended classical Islāmic motifs with inherited popular legends and used popular rather than Persian metres. Ṣūfī poetry expressing divine love and mystical union through the metaphors of profane love and union often resembled ordinary worldly love poetry; and nonmystical poetry made use of the Ṣūfī vocabulary, thus producing an ambiguity that is felt to be one of the most attractive and characteristic features of Persian, Turkish, and Urdu literatures. Ṣūfī ideas thus permeated the hearts of all those who hearkened to poetry. An example is al-Ḥusayn ibn Manṣūr al-Ḥallāj, the 10th-century martyr–mystic, who is as popular in modern progressive Urdu poetry as he was with the “God-intoxicated” Ṣūfīs; he has been converted into a symbol of suffering for one’s ideals.

Ṣūfī thought and practice

Important aspects

The mystics drew their vocabulary largely from the Qur’ān, which for Muslims contains all divine wisdom and has to be interpreted with ever-increasing insight. In the Qur’ān, mystics found the threat of the [Last Judgment](#), but they also found the statement that God “loves them and they love him,” which became the basis for love-mysticism. Strict obedience to the [religious law](#) and imitation of the Prophet were basic for the mystics. By rigid introspection and mental struggle the mystic tried to purify his baser self from even the smallest signs of selfishness, thus attaining *ikhhlās*, absolute purity of intention and act. *Tawakkul* (trust in God) was sometimes practiced to such an extent that every thought of tomorrow was considered irreligious. “Little sleep, little talk, little food” were fundamental; fasting became one of the most important preparations for the spiritual life.

The central concern of the Ṣūfīs, as of every Muslim, was [tawhīd](#), the witness that “There is no deity but God.” This truth had to be realized in the existence of each individual, and so the expressions differ: early Ṣūfism postulated the approach to God through love and voluntary suffering until a unity of will was reached; Junayd spoke of “recognizing God as He was before creation”; God is seen as the One and only actor; He alone “has the right to say ‘I’.” Later, *tawhīd* came to mean the knowledge that there is nothing existent but God, or the ability to see God and creation as two aspects of one reality, reflecting each other and depending upon each other (*waḥdat al-wujūd*).

The mystics realized that beyond the knowledge of outward sciences [intuitive](#) knowledge was required in order to receive that [illumination](#) to which reason has no access. *Dhawq*, direct “tasting” of experience, was essential for them. But the inspirations and “unveilings” that God grants such mystics by special grace must never contradict the Qur’ān and tradition and are valid only for the person concerned. Even the Malāmātīs, who attracted public contempt upon themselves by outwardly acting against the law, in private life strictly followed the divine commands. Mystics who expressed in their poetry their disinterest in, and even contempt of, the traditional formal religions never forgot that Islām is the highest manifestation of divine wisdom.

The idea of the manifestation of divine wisdom was also connected with the person of the prophet Muḥammad. Though early Ṣūfism had concentrated upon the relation between God and the soul, from ad 900 onward a strong Muḥammad-mysticism developed. In the very early years, the alleged divine address to the Prophet—“If thou hadst not been I had not created the worlds”—was common among Ṣūfīs. Muḥammad was said to be “Prophet when Adam was still between water and clay.” Muḥammad is also described as light from light, and from his light all the prophets are created, constituting the different aspects of this light. In its fullness such light radiated from the historical Muḥammad and is partaken of by his posterity and by the saints; for Muḥammad has the aspect of sanctity in addition to that of prophecy. An apocryphal tradition makes even God attest: “I am Aḥmad (= Muḥammad) without

‘m’ (*i.e.*, Aḥad, ‘One’).”

A mystic may also be known as *walī*. By derivation the word *walī* (“saint”) means “one in close relation; friend.” The *awlīyā*’ (plural of *walī*) are “friends of God who have no fear nor are they sad.” Later the term *walī* came to denote the Muslim mystics who had reached a certain stage of proximity to God, or those who had reached the highest mystical stages. They have their “seal” (*i.e.*, the last and most perfect personality in the historical process; with this person, the evolution has found its end—as in Muḥammad’s case), just as the prophets have. Woman saints are found all over the Islāmic world.

The invisible hierarchy of saints consists of the 40 *abdāl* (“substitutes”; for when any of them dies another is elected by God from the rank and file of the saints), seven *awtād* (“stakes,” or “props,” of faith), three *nuqabā*’ (“leader”; “one who introduces people to his master”), headed by the *quṭb* (“axis, pole”), or *ghawth* (“help”)—titles claimed by many Ṣūfī leaders. Saint worship is contrary to Islām, which does not admit of any mediating role for [human beings](#) between man and God; but the cult of living and even more of dead saints—visiting their tombs to take vows there—responded to the feeling of the masses, and thus a number of pre-Islāmic customs were absorbed into Islām under the cover of mysticism. The advanced mystic was often granted the capacity of working [miracles](#) called *karāmāt* (*charismata* or “graces”); not *mu’jizāt* (“that which men are unable to imitate”), like the miracles of the prophets. Among them are “cardiognosia” (knowledge of the heart), providing food from the unseen, presence in two places at the same time, and help for the disciples, be they near or far. In short, a saint is one “whose prayers are heard” and who has *taṣarruf*, the power of materializing in this world possibilities that still rest in the spiritual world. Many great saints, however, considered miracle working as a dangerous trap on the path that might distract the Ṣūfī from his real goal.

The path

The path (*tarīqah*) begins with [repentance](#). A mystical guide (*shaykh*, *pīr*) accepts the seeker as disciple (*murīd*), orders him to follow strict ascetic [practices](#), and suggests certain formulas for meditation. It is said that the disciple should be in the hands of the master “like a corpse in the hand of the washer.” The master teaches him constant struggle (the real “Holy War”) against the lower soul, often represented as a black dog, which should, however, not be killed but merely tamed and used in the way of God. The mystic dwells in a number of spiritual stations (*maqām*), which are described in varying sequence, and, after the initial repentance, comprise abstinence, renunciation, and [poverty](#)—according to Muḥammad’s saying, “Poverty is my pride”; poverty was sometimes interpreted as having no interest in anything apart from God, the Rich One, but the concrete meaning of poverty prevailed, which is why the mystic is often denoted as “poor,” fakir or dervish. Patience and gratitude belong to higher stations of the path, and consent is the loving acceptance of every affliction.

On his way to illumination the mystic will undergo such changing spiritual states (*hāl*) as *qabḍ* and *bast*, constraint and happy spiritual expansion, fear and hope, and longing and intimacy, which are granted by God and last for longer or shorter periods of time, changing in intensity according to the station in which the mystic is abiding at the moment. The way culminates in *ma’rifah* (“interior knowledge,” “gnosis”) or in *maḥabbah* (“love”), the central subject of Ṣūfīsm since the 9th century, which implies a union of lover and beloved, and was therefore violently rejected by the orthodox, for whom “love of God” meant simply obedience. The final goal is *fanā*’ (“annihilation”), primarily an ethical concept of annihilating one’s own qualities, according to the prophetic saying “Take over the qualities of God,” but slowly developing into a complete extinction of the personality. Some mystics taught that behind this negative unity where the self is completely effaced, the *baqā*’, (“duration, life in God”) is found: the ecstatic experience, called intoxication, is followed by the “second sobriety”; *i.e.*, the return of the completely transformed mystic into this world where he acts as a living witness of God

or continues the “journey in God.” The mystic has reached *ḥaqīqah* (“reality”), after finishing the *tarīqah* (“path”), which is built upon the *sharī‘ah* (“law”). Later, the disciple is led through *fanā’ fī ashshaykh* (“annihilation in the master”) to *fanā’ fī-Rasūl* (“annihilation in the Prophet”) before reaching, if at all, *fanā’ fī-Allāh* (“annihilation in God”).

One of the means used on the path is the ritual prayer, or *dhikr* (“remembrance”), derived from the Qur’ānic injunction “And remember God often” (*sūrah* 62:10). It consists in a repetition of either one or all of the most beautiful names of God, of the name “Allāh,” or of a certain religious formula, such as the profession of *faith*: “There is no God but Allāh and Muḥammad is his prophet.” The rosary with 99 or 33 beads was in use as early as the 8th century for counting the thousands of repetitions. Man’s whole being should eventually be transformed into remembrance of God.

In the mid-9th century some mystics introduced sessions with music and poetry recitals (*samā’*) in Baghdad in order to reach the ecstatic experience—and since then debates about the permissibility of *samā’*, filling many books, have been written. Narcotics were used in periods of degeneration, coffee by the “sober” mystics (first by the Shādhilīyah after 1300).

Besides the wayfarers (*sālik*) on the path, Ṣūfīs who have no master but are attracted solely by divine grace are also found; they are called Uwaysī, after Uways al-Qaranī, the Yemenite contemporary of the Prophet who never saw him but firmly believed in him. There are also the so-called *majdhūb* (“attracted”) who are often persons generally agreed to be more or less mentally deranged.

Symbolism in Ṣūfism

The divine truth was at times revealed to the mystic in visions, auditions, and dreams, in colours and sounds, but to convey these nonrational and ineffable experiences to others the mystic had to rely upon such terminology of worldly experience as that of love and intoxication—often objectionable from the orthodox viewpoint. The symbolism of wine, cup, and cupbearer, first expressed by Abū Yazīd al-Bisṭāmī in the 9th century, became popular everywhere, whether in the verses of the Arab Ibn al-Fārīd, or the Persian ‘Irāqī, or the Turk Yunus Emre, and their followers. The hope for the union of the soul with the divine had to be expressed through images of human yearning and love. The love for lovely boys in which the divine beauty manifests itself—according to the alleged Ḥadīth “I saw my Lord in the shape of a youth with a cap awry”—was commonplace in Persian poetry. Union was described as the submersion of the drop in the ocean, the state of the iron in the fire, the vision of penetrating light, or the burning of the moth in the candle (first used by Ḥallāj). Worldly phenomena were seen as black tresses veiling the radiant beauty of the divine countenance. The mystery of unity and diversity was symbolized, for example, under the image of mirrors that reflect the different aspects of the divine, or as prisms colouring the pure light. Every aspect of nature was seen in relation to God. The symbol of the soulbird—in which the human soul is likened to a flying bird—known everywhere, was the centre of ‘Aṭṭar’s *Manteq ot-teyr* (“The Birds’ Conversation”). The predilection of the mystical poets for the symbolism of the nightingale and rose (the red rose = God’s perfect beauty; nightingale = soul; first used by Baqli [died 1206]) stems from the soul-bird symbolism. For spiritual education, symbols taken from medicine (healing of the sick soul) and alchemy (changing of base matter into gold) were also used. Many descriptions that were originally applied to God as the goal of love were, in later times, used also for the Prophet, who is said to be like the “dawn between the darkness of the material world and the sun of Reality.”

Allusions to the Qur’ān were frequent, especially so to verses that seem to imply divine immanence (God’s presence in the world), such as “Whithersoever ye turn, there is the Face of God” (*sūrah* 2:109), or that God is “Closer than your neck-vein” (*sūrah* 50:8). *Sūrah* 7:172—*i.e.*, God’s address to the uncreated children of Adam (“Am I not your Lord” [*alastu birabbikum*])—came to denote the pre-

eternal love relation between God and man. As for the prophets before Muḥammad, the vision of Moses was considered still imperfect, for the mystic wants the actual vision of God, not His manifestation through a [burning bush](#). Abraham, for whom fire turned into a rose garden, resembles the mystic in his afflictions; Joseph, in his perfect beauty, the mystical beloved after whom the mystic searches. The apocryphal traditions used by the mystics are numerous; such as “Heaven and earth do not contain me, but the heart of my faithful servant contains Me”; and the possibility of a relation between man and God is also explained by the traditional idea: “He (God) created Adam in His image.”

Theosophical Ṣūfism

Ṣūfism, in its beginnings a practical method of spiritual education and self-realization, grew slowly into a theosophical system by adopting traditions of Neoplatonism, the Hellenistic world, Gnosticism (an ancient esoteric religiophilosophical movement that viewed matter as evil and spirit as good), and spiritual currents from Iran and various countries in the ancient agricultural lands from the eastern Mediterranean to Iraq. One master who contributed to this development was the Persian as-[Suhrawardī](#), called al-Maqtūl (“killed”), executed in 1191 in Aleppo. To him is attributed the philosophy of *ishrāq* (“illumination”), and he claimed to unite the Persian (Zoroastrian) and Egyptian (Hermetic) traditions. His didactic and doctrinal works in Arabic among other things taught a complicated angelology (theory of angels); some of his smaller Persian treatises depict the journey of the soul across the cosmos; the “Orient” (East) is the world of pure lights and archangels, the “Occident” (West) that of darkness and matter; and man lives in the “Western exile.”

At the time of Suhrawardī’s death the greatest representative of theosophic Ṣūfism was in his 20s: Ibn al-‘Arabī, born at Murcia, Spain, where speculative tendencies had been visible since Ibn Masarraḥ’s philosophy (died 931). Ibn al-‘Arabī was instructed in mysticism by two Spanish woman saints. Performing the traditional pilgrimage to Mecca, he met there an accomplished young Persian lady who represented for him the divine wisdom. This experience resulted in the charming poems of the *Tarjumān al-ashwāq* (“Interpreter of Yearning”), which the author later explained mystically. Ibn al-‘Arabī composed at least 150 volumes. His magnum opus is *al-Futūḥāt al-Makkīyah* (“The Meccan Revelations”) in 560 chapters, in which he expounds his theory of unity of being.

The substance of theosophic Ṣūfism is as follows. According to the Ḥadīth *qudsī*, or “holy tradition”—“I was a [hidden treasure](#) and wanted to be known”—the absolute, or God, yearned in his loneliness for manifestation and created the world by effusing being upon the heavenly archetypes, a “[theophany](#) (a physical manifestation of deity) through God’s imaginative power.” The universe is annihilated and created every moment. Every divine name is reflected in a named one. The world and God are said to be like ice and water, or like two mirrors contemplating themselves in each other, joined by a sympathetic union. [The Prophet](#) Muḥammad is the universal man, the [perfect man](#), the total theophany of the divine names, the prototype of creation. Muḥammad is the “word,” each particular dimension of which is identified with a prophet, and he is also the model for the spiritual realization of the possibilities of man. The mystic has to pass the stages of the Qur’ānic prophets as they are explained in the *Fuṣūṣ al-ḥikam* (“Bezels of Wisdom”) until he becomes united with the *ḥaqīqa Muḥammadīya* (the first individualization of the divine in the “Muḥammadan Reality”). Man can have vision only of the form of the faith he professes, and Ibn al-‘Arabī’s oft-quoted verse, “I follow the religion of love wherever its camels turn,” with its seeming religious tolerance means, as S.H. Nasr puts it: “the form of God is for him no longer the form of this or that faith exclusive of all others but his own eternal form which he encounters.” The theories of the perfect man were elaborated by Jīlī (died c. 1424) in his compendium *Al-insān al-kāmil* (“The Perfect Man”) and became common throughout the [Muslim world](#).

Ibn al-‘Arabī’s theosophy has been attacked by orthodox Muslims and mystics of the “sober” school as incongruent with Islām because “a thoroughly monistic system cannot take seriously the objective validity of [moral standards](#).” Even the adversaries of the “greatest master” could not, however, help using part of his terminology. Innumerable mystics and poets propagated his ideas, though they only partly understood them, and this circumstance led also to a misinterpretation of the data of early Ṣūfism in the light of existential monism. Later Persian poetry is permeated by the pantheistic feeling of *hama ost* (“everything is He”).

[Ibn al-‘Arabī’s](#) contemporary in Egypt, the poet [Ibn al-Fārīd](#), is usually mentioned together with him; Ibn al-Fārīd, however, is not a systematic thinker but a full-fledged poet who used the imagery of classical Arabic poetry to describe the state of the lover in extremely artistic verses and has given, in his *Tā’iyat al-kubrā* (“Poem of the Journey”), glimpses of the way of the mystic, using, as many poets before and after him did, for example, the image of the [shadow play](#) for the actions of the creatures who are dependent upon the divine playmaster. His unifying experience is personal and is not the expression of a theosophical system.

Ṣūfī orders

Organization

Mystical life was first restricted to the relation between a master and a few disciples; the foundations of a monastic system were laid by the Persian Abū Sa’īd ibn Abī al-Kheyr (died 1049), but real orders or fraternities came into existence only from the 12th century onward: ‘Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī (died 1166) gathered the first and still most important order around himself; then followed the Suhrawardīyah, and the 13th century saw the formation of large numbers of different orders in the East (for example, Kubrawīya in Khvārezm) and West (Shādhilīyah). Thus, Ṣūfism ceased to be the way of the chosen few and influenced the masses. A strict ritual was elaborated: when the adept had found a master for whom he had to feel a preformed affinity, there was an [initiation](#) ceremony in which he swore allegiance (*bay‘at*) into the master’s hand; similarities to the initiation in Ismā‘īlism, the 9th-century sect, and in the guilds suggest a possible interaction. The disciple (*murīd*) had to undergo a stern training; he was often ordered to perform the lowest work in the community, to serve the brethren, to go out to beg (many of the old monasteries subsisted upon alms). A seclusion period of 40 days under hard conditions was common for the adepts in most orders.

Investiture with the *khirqah*, the frock of the master, originally made from shreds and patches, was the decisive act by which the disciple became part of the *silsilah*, the chain of mystical succession and transmission, which leads back—via Junayd—to the Prophet himself and differs in every order. Some mystical leaders claimed to have received their *khirqah* directly from al-Khiḍr, a mysterious immortal saint.

In the earliest times, allegiance was sworn exclusively to one master who had complete power over the disciple, controlling each of his movements, thoughts, visions, and dreams; but later many Ṣūfīs got the *khirqah* from two or more [shaykhs](#). There is consequently a differentiation between the *shaykh at-tarbiyah*, who introduces the disciple into the ritual, forms, and literature of the order, and the *shaykh aṣ-ṣuḥbah*, who steadily watches him and with whom the disciple lives. Only a few members of the fraternity remained in the centre (*dargāh*, *khānqāh*, *tekke*), close to the *shaykh*, but even those were not bound to celibacy. Most of the initiated returned to their daily life and partook in mystic services only during certain periods. The most mature disciple was invested as *khalīfah* (“successor”) to the *shaykh* and was often sent abroad to extend the activities of the order. The *dargāhs* were organized differently

in the various orders; some relied completely upon alms, keeping their members in utmost poverty; others were rich, and their *shaykh* was not very different from a feudal lord. Relations with rulers varied—some masters refused contacts with the representatives of political power; others did not mind friendly relations with the grandees.

Discipline and [ritual](#)

Each order has peculiarities in its ritual. Most start the instruction with breaking the lower soul; others, such as the later Naqshbandīyah, stress the purification of the heart by constant *dhikr* (“remembrance”) and by discourse with the master (*ṣuḥbah*). The forms of *dhikr* vary in the orders. Many of them use the word Allāh, or the profession of faith with its rhythmical wording, sometimes accompanied by movements of the body, or by breath control up to complete holding of the breath. The Mawlawīs, the whirling dervishes, are famous for their dancing ritual, an organized variation of the earlier *samāʿ* practices, which were confined to music and poetry. The Rifāʿī is, the so-called [Howling Dervishes](#), have become known for their practice of hurting themselves while in an ecstatic state that they reach in performing their loud *dhikr*. (Such practices that might well degenerate into mere jugglery are not approved by most orders.) Some orders also teach the *dhikr khaft*, silent repetition of the formulas, and meditation, concentrating upon certain fixed points of the body; thus the Naqshbandīs do not allow any emotional practices and prefer contemplation to ecstasy, perhaps as a result of Buddhist influence from [Central Asia](#). Other orders have special [prayers](#) given to the disciples, such as the protective *ḥizb al-bahī* (“The protective armour of the sea”; *i.e.*, for seafaring people—then extended to all travellers) in the Shādhilīyah order. Most of them prescribe for their disciples additional prayers and meditation at the end of each ritual prayer.

Function and role in Islāmic society

The orders formed an excellent means of bringing together the spiritually interested members of the community. They acted as a counterweight against the influence of hairsplitting lawyer-divines and gave the masses an emotional outlet in enthusiastic celebrations (*ʿurs*, “marriage”) of the anniversaries of the deaths of founders of mystic orders or similar festivals in which they indulged in music and joy. The orders were adaptable to every social level; thus, some of them were responsible for adapting a number of un-Islāmic folkloristic practices such as veneration of saints. Their way of life often differed so much from Islāmic ideals that one distinguishes in Iran and India between orders *bā sharʿ* (law-bound) and *bī sharʿ* (not following the injunctions of the Qurʾān). Some orders were more fitting for the rural population, such as the Aḥmadīyah (after Aḥmad al-Badawī; died 1286) in Egypt. The Aḥmadīyah, however, even attracted some Mamlūk rulers. The Turkish Bektāshīyah (Hacı Bektaş, early 14th century), together with strange syncretistic cults, showed a prevalence of the ideals of the Shīʿites (from Shīʿah—the followers of ʿAlī, son-in-law of the prophet Muḥammad, whose descendants claimed to be rightful successors to the religious leadership of Islām). The figure of ʿAlī played a role also in other fraternities, and the relations between Ṣūfism in the 14th and 15th centuries and the Shīʿah still have to be explored, as is also true of the general influence of Shīʿite ideas on Ṣūfism. Other orders, such as the [Shādhilīyah](#), an offshoot of which still plays an important role among Egyptian officials and employees, are typically [middle class](#). This order demands not a life in solitude but strict adherence to one’s profession and fulfillment of one’s duty. Still other orders were connected with the ruling classes, such as, for a time, the [Chishtīyah](#) in Mughal India, and the [Mawlawīyah](#), whose leader had to invest the Ottoman sultan with the sword. The Mawlawīyah is also largely responsible for the development of classical Turkish poetry, music, and [fine arts](#), just as the Chishtīyah contributed much to the formation of classical Indo-Muslim music.

The main contribution of the orders, however, is their [missionary](#) activity. The members of different orders who settled in India from the early 13th century attracted thousands of Hindus by their example of love of both God and their own brethren and by preaching the equality of men. Missionary activity was often joined with political activity, as in 17th- and 18th-century Central Asia, where the Naqshbandīyah exerted strong political influence. In [North Africa](#) the [Tijānīyah](#), founded in 1781, and the [Sanūsīyah](#), active since the early 19th century, both heralded Islām and engaged in politics; the Sanūsīyah fought against Italy, and the former king of Libya was the head of the order. The Tijānīyah extended the borders of Islām toward Senegal and Nigeria, and their representatives founded large kingdoms in [West Africa](#). Their influence, as well as that of the Qādirīyah, is still an important sociopolitical factor in those areas.

Geographical extent of Ṣūfī orders

It would be impossible to number the members of mystical orders in the Islāmic world. Even in such countries as Turkey, where the orders have been banned since 1925, many people still cling to the mystical tradition and feel themselves to be links in the spiritual chains of the orders and try to implement their ideals in modern society. The most widely spread group is, no doubt, the [Qādirīyah](#), whose adherents are found from West Africa to India—the tomb of ‘Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī in Baghdad still being a place of pilgrimage. The areas where the Sanūsīyah live are restricted to the Maghrib, the Atlas Massif, and the coastal plain from Morocco to Tunisia, whereas the Tijānīyah has some offshoots in Turkey. Such rural orders as the Egyptian Aḥmadīyah and Dasūqīyah (named after Ibrāhīm ad-Dasūqī; died 1277) are bound to their respective countries, as are the Mawlawīs and [Bektāshīyah](#) to the realms of the former [Ottoman Empire](#). The Bektāshīyah had gained political importance in the empire because of its relations with the Janissaries, the [standing army](#). Albania, since 1929, has had a strong and officially recognized group of Bektāshīyah who were even granted independent status after [World War II](#). The [Shattārīyah](#) (derived from ‘Abd ash-Shaṭṭār; died 1415) extends from India to Java, whereas the Chishtīyah (derived from Khwājah Mu‘īnud-Dīn Chishtī; died 1236 in Ajmer) and Suhrawardīyah remain mainly inside the Indo-Pakistan subcontinent. The [Kubrāwīyah](#) reached Kashmir through ‘Alī Hama-dhānī (died 1385), a versatile author, but the order later lost its influence.

The great variety of possible forms may be seen by comparing the Haddāwah, vagabonds in Morocco, who “do not spoil God’s day by work” and the Shādhilīyah with a sober attitude toward professional life and careful introspection. Out of the Shādhilīyah developed the austere Darqāwīyah, who, in turn, produced the ‘Alāwīyah, whose master has attracted even a number of Europeans. The splitting up and formation of suborders is a normal process, but most of the subgroups have only local importance. The High Ṣūfī Convent in Egypt counts 60 registered orders.

Significance

Ṣūfism has helped to shape large parts of Muslim society. The orthodox disagree with such aspects of Ṣūfism as saint worship, visiting of tombs, musical performances, miracle mongering, degeneration into jugglery, and the adaptation of pre-Islāmic and un-Islāmic customs; and the reformers object to the influences of the monistic interpretation of Islām upon moral life and human activities. The importance given to the figure of the master is accused of yielding negative results; the *shaykh* as the almost infallible leader of his disciples and admirers could gain dangerous authority and political influence, for the illiterate villagers in backward areas used to rely completely upon the “saint.” Yet, other masters have raised their voices against social inequality and have tried, even at the cost of their lives, to [change social](#) and political conditions for the better and to spiritually revive the masses. The missionary

activities of the Ṣūfīs have enlarged the fold of the faithful. The importance of Ṣūfism for spiritual education, and inculcation in the faithful of the virtues of trust in God, piety, faith in God's love, and veneration of the Prophet, cannot be overrated. The *dhikr* formulas still preserve their consoling and quieting power even for the illiterate. Mysticism permeates [Persian literature](#) and other literatures influenced by it. Such poetry has always been a source of happiness for millions, although some modernists have disdained its “narcotic” influence on Muslim thinking.

Industrialization and modern life have led to a constant decrease in the influence of Ṣūfī orders in many countries. The spiritual heritage is preserved by individuals who sometimes try to show that mystical experience conforms to modern science. Today in the West, Ṣūfism is popularized, but the genuinely and authentically devout are aware that it requires strict discipline, and that its goal can be reached—if at all—as they say, only by throwing oneself into the consuming fire of divine love.

Annemarie Schimmel

ARTICLE

Additional Reading

Introductory works

Arthur H. Palmer (comp.), *Oriental Mysticism: A Treatise on Sufiistic and Unitarian Theosophy of the Persians*, 2nd ed. by Arthur J. Arberry (1938, reprinted 1974), an exposition of later mystical ideas; Annemarie Schimmel, *Mystical Dimensions of Islam* (1975), a multifaceted, introductory study of Ṣūfism; Reynold A. Nicholson, *The Mystics of Islam* (1914, reprinted 1975), a very readable introduction to classical Ṣūfism and Ṣūfī poetry; Arthur J. Arberry, *Sufism: An Account of the Mystics of Islam* (1950), a historical survey of classical Ṣūfism; G.-C. Anawati and Louis Gardet, *Mystique musulmane*, 3rd ed. (1976), an excellent study of the major trends and leading personalities in classical Ṣūfism; Robert C. Zaehner, *Hindu and Muslim Mysticism* (1960, reissued 1969), a thought-provoking study of the possible relations between Indian and early Muslim mysticism.

History

Margaret Smith, *Rābi‘a the Mystic & Her Fellow-Saints in Islam: Being the Life and Teachings of Rābi‘a al-‘Adawiyya al-Qaysiyya of Basra, Together with Some Account of the Place of the Women Saints in Islam* (1928, reprinted 1977), the first study of the herald of mystical love in Islām; Joseph Van Ess, *Die Gedankenwelt des Ḥārīt al-Muḥāsibī anhand von Übersetzungen aus seinen Schriften dargestellt und erläutert* (1961), an excellent introduction to the theology and psychology of early mystical thought in Islām; Louis Massignon, *La Passion de Husayn ibn Mansūr Hallāj: martyre mystique de l’Islam*, new ed. 4 vol. (1975), an indispensable sourcebook for the history of Ṣūfism in the classical period; Annemarie Schimmel, *Al-Halladsch, Märtyrer der Gottesliebe* (1968), a German translation of parts of Ḥallāj’s poetry and prose, and a study of his influence on the literatures of the different Islāmic peoples; Serge de Beaurecueil, *Khwādja ‘Abdullāh Anṣārī (396–481 H./1006–1089): Mystique Hanbalite* (1965), a biography of the author of the beautiful Persian *munājāt* (prayers) and other mystical books; A.J. Wensinck, *La Pensée de Ghazzālī* (1940), a short and reliable introduction to Ghazzālī’s thought; John A. Subhan, *Sufism: Its Saints and Shrines* (1938, reissued 1978), a useful survey of the later development of Islāmic mysticism.

Ṣūfī literature

Helmut Ritter, *Das Meer der Seele* (1955, reissued 1978), an exhaustive work on Farīd ud-Dīn ‘Aṭṭār’s thought as reflected in his mystical poetry; Jalālu’d-dīn Rūmī, *The Mathnawī*, ed. with critical notes, translation, and commentary by Reynold A. Nicholson, 8 vol. (1925–40), the encyclopaedia of mystical thought in the 13th century in masterly translation; H.T. Sorley, *Shah Abdul Latīf of Bhit* (1940);

reprinted 1966), a study of the greatest mystical poet of Sind.

Ṣūfī thought and practice

Benedikt Reinert, *Die Lehre vom Tawakkul in der klassischen Sufik* (1968), the first fundamental study of a single concept central to early Islāmic mysticism, built upon a critical analysis of all available sources; Arthur J. Arberry, *The Doctrine of the Ṣūfīs* (1935, reprinted 1977), a useful translation of Kalābādhi's *Kitāb at-ta'arruf*, one of the early treatises on Ṣūfī thought; Ali bin Uthman al-Hujwiri, *The Kashf al-Maḥjub: The Oldest Persian Treatise on Sufism*, trans. by Reynold A. Nicholson (1911, reprinted 1976), a masterly translation of the voluminous 11th-century account of Ṣūfī thought; G.-H. Bousquet (ed.), *Ih'yā 'ouloûm ed-dîn; ou Vivification des sciences de la foi* (1955), an analytical index of the most widely read work on moderate mystical thought, prepared with the assistance of numerous scholars; Constance E. Padwick, *Muslim Devotions* (1961), the only account of the popular mystically tinged piety of the Muslims as reflected in their prayer books; Laleh Bakhtiar, *Sufi: Expressions of the Mystic Quest* (1976), discusses and shows through illustrations the Ṣūfī experience and its expression in the arts.

Theosophical Ṣūfism

A.E. Affifi, *The Mystical Philosophy of Muhyid Dīn-Ibnul 'Arabī* (1939, reissued 1974), the first attempt, in a Western language, to systematize the pantheistic system of the 13th-century theosophist; Henry Corbin, *Creative Imagination in the Ṣūfism of Ibn 'Arabī*, trans. by Ralph Manheim (1970); Reynold A. Nicholson, *Studies in Islamic Mysticism* (1921, reissued 1978), a study of Abū Sa'īd and a discussion of Jīlī's Perfect Man and of Ibn al-Fāriḍ, with a superb translation of most of his odes.

Ṣūfī orders

Octave Depont and Xavier Cappolani, *Les Confréries religieuses musulmanes* (1897), a comprehensive account of Ṣūfī brotherhoods; Hans J. Kissling, "Die Wunder der Derwische," *ZDMG (Zeitschrift der deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft)*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 348–361 (August 1957), a fully documented account of the kinds of miracles performed by dervishes; Khaliq A. Nizami, *The Life and Times of Shaikh Faridud-din Ganj-i-Shakar* (1955, reprinted 1973), a good survey of the life of one of the leading Chishtī saints in India; René Brunel, *Le Monachisme errant dans l'Islam: Sīdi Heddi et les Heddāwa* (1955), a penetrating study of a little known fraternity of dervishes in North Africa; Jamil M. Abun-Nasr, *The Tijaniyya: A Sufi Order in the Modern World* (1965), a study of the development of political activities of this 19th-century order in the northern and western parts of Africa; J. Spencer Trimingham, *The Sufi Orders in Islam* (1971), the first attempt to give a survey of all orders in Islām, and, as such, quite useful.